

07/26/05

PTO/SB/30 (09/04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

RCE
JAN

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) TRANSMITTAL Address to: Mail Stop: RCE Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	Serial No.	09/681,762
	Filing Date	06/01/2001
	First Named Inventor	Sean M. McCullough
	Group Art Unit:	2178
	Examiner Name	Stork, Kyle R.
	Atty. Docket No.	VIGN1230-1



This is a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 of the above-identified application. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) practice under 37 CFR 1.114 does not apply to any utility or plant application filed prior to June 8, 1995, or to any design application. See Instruction Sheet for RCEs (not to be submitted to the USPTO) on page 2.

1. **Submission required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114**
 - a. ☐ Previously submitted
 - i. ☐ Consider the amendment (s)/reply under 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 filed on _____
(Any unentered amendment(s) referred to above will be entered).
 - ii. ☐ Consider the arguments in the Appeal Brief or Reply Brief previously filed on _____
 - iii. ☐ Other _____
 - b. ☒ Enclosed
 - i. ☐ Preliminary Amendment
 - ii. ☐ Affidavit(s)/Declaration(s)
 - iii. ☐ Information Disclosure Statement
 - iv. ☒ Other Reply to Office Action Dated 03/25/05; Extension of Time; Examiner Interview Summary
2. **Miscellaneous**
 - a. ☐ Suspension of action on the above-identified application is requested under 37 C.F.R. § 1.103(c) for a period of _____ months. (Period of suspension shall not exceed 3 months; Fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(i) required)
 - b. ☐ Other _____
3. **Fees** The RCE fee under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e) is required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 when the RCE is filed.
 - a. ☒ The Director is hereby authorized to charge *any underpayment or credit any overpayment* to Deposit Account No. 50-3183 for the pendency of this application.
 - i. ☒ RCE fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)
 - ii. ☒ Additional Claims Fee Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17
 - iii. ☒ Other Fee for 1-mo extension of time
 - b. ☐ Check in the amount of \$ _____ is enclosed.
 - c. ☒ Authorized to deduct \$960.00 From Deposit Account 50-3183 of Sprinkle IP Law Group.

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY OR AGENT REQUIRED

Signature		Date	July 25, 2005
Name (print/type)	Ari G. Akmal	Registration No.	51,388


CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail No. EV704313635US to Addressee in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature		Date	July 25, 2005
Name (Print/Type)	Julie H. Blackard		

07/27/2005 MAHMEDI 00000093 503183 09681762

01 FC:1801 790.00 DA



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Examiner Interview Summary

Atty. Docket No.
VIGN1230-1

Applicants

Sean M. McCullough, et al.

Application No.

09/681,762

Date Filed

06/01/2001

Title

Metadata, Models, Visualization and Control

Group Art Unit

2178

Examiner

Stork, Kyle R.

Confirmation Number:

7625

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Certificate of Mailing Under 37 C.F.R. §1.10

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail to Addressee (Label No. **EV704313635US**) in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22312-1450 on **July 25, 2005**.


Signature

Julie H. Blackard

Printed Name

This paper is to summarize the interview conducted with Examiner Kyle R. Stork on July 18, 2005 with Applicants' representatives including Messrs. Sprinkle, Akmal and Loomis.

Summary


On July 18, 2005, Messrs. Steve Sprinkle, Ari Akmal and Paul Loomis, held a telephone interview with Examiner Kyle R. Stork. During the interview, the prior art cited in the Office Action dated 03/25/05, namely United States Patent No. 6,732,331 ("Alexander",) was considered. No additional prior art was discussed.

Applicants' representatives and Examiner Stork discussed claim 1 of the 09/681,762 patent application. Specifically, the Applicants' representatives and the Examiner discussed the Alexander reference as compared to the claimed invention and that the Alexander reference does not disclose displaying rendered elements in conjunction with target documents. Examiner Stork agreed that he would review the Applicants' next response in light of the interview.

The Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is hereby authorized to charge any fees or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-3183 of Sprinkle IP Law Group.

Respectfully submitted,

Sprinkle IP Law Group
Attorneys for Applicant


Ari G. Akmal
Reg. No. 51,388

Date: July 25, 2005
1301 W. 25th Street, Suite 408
Austin, TX 78705
Tel. (512) 637-9223
Fax. (512) 371-9088